



Neutron imaging II

Nikolay Kardjilov



Source Sample Detector





Contrast

Resolution

- Neutron interaction with matter
 - attenuation contrast
 - diffraction contrast
 - phase/dark-field contrast
 - magnetic contrast

- Beam optimisation
- Detector development

Attenuation Contrast



1 cm



Neutron imaging

Beam monochromatisation

Double crystal monochromator: PCG crystals (mosaicity of 0.8°)

Range: 2.0 – 6.5 Å

Resolution ($\Delta\lambda/\lambda$): ~ 3%

Neutron flux: ~ $4x10^5$ n/cm²s (at λ =3.0 Å)

Beam size: 5 x 20 cm²



Kardjilov, Nikolay, et al. "New trends in neutron imaging." *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 605.1 (2009): 13-15.





Bragg's law 2d_{hkl}sin90°=λ

Cross-sections of iron per ator











1 cm

Lehmann, E. H., et al. "The energy-selective option in neutron imaging." *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 603.3 (2009): 429-438.

Mesopotamian Seals (from c. 2000 - 1600 BC) (Theo Krispijn, *NINO Institute*, Dirk Visser, *Delft University of Techn*ology, Holland)

Photo



Neutron radiography



1<u> cm</u>

The De Liagre-Böhl collection of the Dutch Institute for the Near East (NINO) houses about 150 seals, 13 of which were visually identified as hematite. The seals were acquired in Iraq at the beginning of the last century.





3D Phase mapping in metals



max. stress/strain

0%

100%

min. stress/strain

Cross Section at center

of torsion sample

Cross Section at center

uniform stress/

strain distribution

100% α-Martensite (bcc)

Austenite (fcc)

3 mm

of tensile sample

0%



R. Woracek et al., Advanced Materials, in print (2014)

Residual stresses

(Dayakar Penumadu, Robin Woracek, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA)

Setup for energy selective imaging



Residual stresses

(Dayakar Penumadu, Robin Voracek, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA)



Insert: [110] Bragg Edge for point S1. The position of the Bragg Edge was obtained by fitting using Gauss's non-linear least-squares method.



Residual stresses

(Dayakar Penumadu, Robin Voracek, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA)

Imaging measurements



Residual stresses

(Robin Woracek, University of Tennessee, USA, Robert Wimpory, HZB, Germany)

Diffraction measurements





Introduction



Introduction

Refractive index: phase absorption $\varphi = -k \int \delta(z') dz$ $n(x, y, z, \lambda) = 1 - \delta(x, y, z, \lambda) - i\beta(x, y, z, \lambda)$ ΛΛΛ Ζ Phase φ Amplitude A **Object** Areas of different Phase-contrast Absorptionthickness/phase contrast shifts

Phase contrast imaging based on Fresnel propagation





Larger λ will improve the spatial coherence of the beam and provide stronger phase-contrast effect.

Phase-contrast pinhole exchanger (5 mm, 3 mm, 1 mm) Distance pinhole-smaple: ~ 4 m Distance sample detector: 0 – 700 mm Polychromatic beam Transversal coherence length: 0.2 – 1.2 µm (5 mm – 1 mm)



First results

Pinhole 1mm, exp. time 60 min, white beam



Distance, d: 0 cm

10 cm

25 cm

50 cm

Pinhole 5mm, exp. time 3 min, white beam







phase-contrast radiography



























Grating interferometer – first results

 X_4

X₇

X,

 X_5

X₈

X₃

X₆

X₉







 $\partial \phi$ $\vartheta \sim$ ∂x



SoNS 2014, Erice, Italy

phase object



The spatially resolved analysis of the interference pattern (phase, amplitude and offset) reveal information about phase effects, small angle scattering and attenuation introduced by the sample.

Grating interferometry for materials science



M. Strobl et al, Neutron Dark-Field Tomography, Physical Review Letters 101, 123902 (2008)

Structures (0.1–10µm)





Magnetic domain structure in the sample is obtained by analyzing the amplitude of the oscillation.

2 mm

(conventional radiography)



M. Strobl et al, PRL 101, 123902 (2008)



Magnetic domains in a bulky FeSi single crystal



Magnetic domain structure can be visualized in 3D by applying tomographic reconstruction from 2D angular projections 3D domain distribution



I. Manke et al, Nature Communications 1 (8), p.125 (2010)



Principle

2D-detector



Experimental parameters

- Solid state polarazing benders
- Beam size (WxH): 20 x 4 cm²
- Exposure times: ~10 min / image

$$\varphi = \omega_L t = \frac{\gamma_L}{v} \int_{path} Hds$$

N. Kardjilov, et al, Nature Physics 4, 399-403, (2008)

Experimental setup

Solid state polariser **Refractive index** $m = 1 - \lambda^2 \left(\frac{N \cdot b_c}{2\pi} \pm \frac{\mu m B}{h^2} \right)$ Wavelength optimum λ = 3.5 Å Bending distance 0.25 mm $\vec{B} \otimes$ 0.25 mm ↑,↓ (H) Gadolinium Silicon Supermirror 75 mm Source: Dr. Krist (HZB)

Experimental parameters

Open beam



Sample

Copper coil Wire thickness: 2 mm

SoNS 2014, Erice, Italy

Binning: 2x2











<u>1 cm</u>

Exposure time: 1440 s (24 min) Binning: 2x2

Neutron imaging



Biot-Savart law

$$d\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{Id\vec{I} \times \hat{r}}{r^2}$$

Spin rotation

$$\varphi = \frac{\gamma_L}{v} \int_{path} Bds$$



Flux pinning in superconductors



Flux pinning at cooling down below Tc while applying a homogenous magnetic field of 10 mT perpendicular to the beam.

The images were recorded after switching off the magnetic field.



Steps towards quantification



Direction of magnetic field





SoNS 2014, Erice, Italy

1 cm





Depolarisation analysis



PdNi crystal (3.24% Ni) imaged by polarised neutrons

Thank you !

