

Neutrons and Magnetic Excitations

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010





European Commission Joint Research Centre Institute for Transuranium Elements Karlsruhe, Germany roberto.caciuffo@ec.europa.eu





X School of Neutron Scattering F.P. Ricci, Villa Mondragone, 25 Sept. - 4 Oct. 2010



Introduction

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU





INS: Measuring scattering processes involving energy and momentum exchange between the neutron and the sample



Introduction

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

Inelastic neutron scattering offers the ability to measure directly the interactions of magnetic moments with other magnetic moments and with the local environment. A variety of problems can be investigated in a variety of systems:

- Single ion excitations
- crystal field measurements
- Spin dynamics in polymetallic clusters
- One dimensional spin chains
- Two dimensional square lattices
- Three dimensional systems



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

The neutron has a small dipole moment that causes it to scatter from inhomogeneous internal fields produced by electrons

The magnetic scattering cross section is similar in magnitude to the nuclear cross section

Elastic magnetic scattering probes static magnetic structure

Inelastic magnetic scattering probes spin dynamics

Polarized neutrons can distinguish magnetic and nuclear scattering and specific spin components

JRC The neutron as a magnetic probe pean Commission OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010 RNATIONAL SCHOOL ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.E The n^o has a magnetic moment, due to some substructure of charged particles. $\dot{\mu} = -\gamma \,\mu_{\rm N} \,\hat{\sigma} \qquad \gamma = 1.913, \quad \mu_{\rm N} = \frac{\pi c}{2 \,m_{\rm P}}$ A crude estimate (non-relativistic guarks, no guarks-gluons interaction): n^o = ddu, with guarks in s states (no angular momentum) The magnetic moment of a guark in a s state is due to its spin: $\vec{\mu}_{Q} = \frac{\hbar e_{Q}}{2 m_{O}} \vec{S}_{Q}$ (Q = u, d; $e_{u} = +2/3$, $e_{d} = -1/3$, $m_{u} \approx m_{d} = 350 \text{ MeV}$) The spins of the three quarks add up to give the n^0 spin s = 1/2. Racah algebra gives:

 $\mu_n = \frac{4}{3} \mu_{up} - \frac{1}{3} \mu_{down}$ In good agreement with exp.

$$\frac{\mu_e}{\mu_N} = 960$$



n

↑R

r

0



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

A dipole in a magnetic field has potential energy

$$\mathsf{V}(\mathbf{r}) \,=\, -\,\boldsymbol{\mu}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \,=\, -\,\gamma\,\mu_{\mathsf{N}}\,\hat{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{r}\right)$$

The magnetic field that scatters the neutrons is due to currents and magnetic dipole moments of electrons. For a single electron in \mathbf{r}_i , the field acting on a n⁰ in $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}$ is:

$$\mathbf{B}_{e}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \nabla \times \frac{-g \,\mu_{B} \,\mathbf{s}_{e} \times \mathbf{r}}{r^{3}} - \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \frac{\mu_{B}}{\hbar} \left(\mathbf{p}_{e} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}} \times \mathbf{p}_{e} \right)$$

The total field B(r,t) in a sample of condensed matter is the sum of the fields generated by all the electrons and depends on the wave-function of the system.



The magnetic scattering of neutrons will therefore depends only on the transverse component of the magnetisation. $E_{x.}$ #1. Why?

Then, the pdcs for scattering of n° in the $|\uparrow\rangle$ spin state into the spin state $\langle s'|$ at T = 0 is:

$$\left(\frac{\mathsf{d}^{2}\,\sigma}{\mathsf{d}\Omega\mathsf{d}\mathsf{E}^{\,\prime}}\right)_{\mathsf{s}^{\,\prime}} = \frac{\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{f}}}{\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{i}}} \left(\frac{\gamma\mathsf{r}_{\mathsf{0}}}{2\,\mu_{\mathsf{B}}}\right)^{2} \left(4\,\pi\right)^{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\,\prime}} \left|\langle\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\,\prime} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathsf{M}}_{\perp}\left(\mathbf{q}\right)\mid\boldsymbol{0}\rangle\cdot\langle\boldsymbol{s}^{\,\prime}\mid\boldsymbol{\hat{\sigma}}\mid\boldsymbol{\uparrow}\rangle\right|^{2}\delta\left(\mathsf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\,\prime}}-\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{0}}-\hbar\omega\right)$$

Ex. #2. Extend to non-zero temperatures





X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

Recalling the matrix elements of the Pauli spin operators

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \uparrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} \mid \uparrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} \mid \downarrow \rangle \\ \langle \downarrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} \mid \uparrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} \mid \downarrow \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \uparrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{y}} \mid \uparrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{y}} \mid \downarrow \rangle \\ \langle \downarrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{y}} \mid \uparrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{y}} \mid \downarrow \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \uparrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{z}} \mid \uparrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{z}} \mid \downarrow \rangle \\ \langle \downarrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{z}} \mid \uparrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow \mid \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{z}} \mid \downarrow \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

one has (initial spin state $|\uparrow\rangle$):

$$\left(\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d\Omega dE'} \right)_{\uparrow} = \frac{k_{f}}{k_{i}} \left(\frac{\gamma r_{0}}{2 \mu_{B}} \right)^{2} (4 \pi)^{2} \sum_{\lambda'} \left| \langle \lambda' \mid M_{\perp z} (\mathbf{q}) \mid 0 \rangle \right|^{2} \delta (E_{\lambda'} - E_{\lambda} - \hbar \omega)$$

$$\left(\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d\Omega dE'} \right)_{\downarrow} = \frac{k_{f}}{k_{i}} \left(\frac{\gamma r_{0}}{2 \mu_{B}} \right)^{2} (4 \pi)^{2} \sum_{\lambda'} \left| \langle \lambda' \mid M_{\perp x} (\mathbf{q}) \mid 0 \rangle + i \langle \lambda' \mid M_{\perp y} (\mathbf{q}) \mid 0 \rangle \right|^{2} \delta (E_{\lambda'} - E_{\lambda} - \hbar \omega)$$

So, NSF scattering probes the components of M_{\perp} along the quantization axis of the n^0 spin, whilst SF scattering probes the components of M_{\perp} perpendicular to z.

Ex. #3. Work out the pdcs for $\downarrow \rightarrow \downarrow$ and $\downarrow \rightarrow \uparrow$ scattering



If the beam is unpolarised we have:

$$\left(\frac{\mathsf{d}^{2}\,\sigma}{\mathsf{d}\Omega\mathsf{d}\mathsf{E'}}\right)_{\downarrow} = \frac{\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{f}}}{\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{i}}}\left(\frac{\gamma\mathsf{r}_{\mathsf{0}}}{2\,\mu_{\mathsf{B}}}\right)^{2}\left(4\,\pi\right)^{2}\sum_{\lambda'}\left|\left\langle\lambda'\mid\boldsymbol{M}_{\bot}\left(\mathsf{q}\right)\mid\mathsf{0}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\delta\left(\mathsf{E}_{\lambda'}-\mathsf{E}_{\lambda}-\hbar\omega\right)$$

a) The spatial part of the matrix elements can be written in terms of s_{\perp} , the electron spin component transverse to the momentum transfer q.

$$\mathbf{M}_{\perp} (\mathbf{q}) = -2 \, \mu_{\mathsf{B}} \sum_{\mathsf{n}} e^{\mathsf{i}\,\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{\mathsf{n}}} \, \mathbf{s}_{\perp\mathsf{n}}$$

b) Using the Fourier representation of the δ function, the pdcs at non-zero T can be written as the FT of a spin-spin time correlation function

$$\langle \boldsymbol{S}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{\perp} \rangle (\boldsymbol{q}, \ \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n}'} e^{i \, \boldsymbol{q} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}'} - \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}})} \int_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{\infty} e^{-i\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{t}'} \ \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{t}' \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} p\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mid \boldsymbol{s}_{\perp \boldsymbol{n}} \ (\boldsymbol{0}) \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\perp \boldsymbol{n}'} \ (\boldsymbol{t}) \mid \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle$$

Spin-spin correlation function
WITERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F.P. RICCL ROME, OCTOBER 2010
(
$$\frac{d^2 \sigma}{d\Omega dE^{+}}\right)_{\uparrow} = A(q) \langle S_{\perp} \cdot \hat{z} \ S_{\perp} \cdot \hat{z} \rangle \langle q, \omega \rangle$$
For neutrons with initial spin state $|\uparrow\rangle$:
($\frac{d^2 \sigma}{d\Omega dE^{+}}\right)_{\downarrow} = A(q) [\langle (S_{\perp})_{\perp} \cdot (S_{\perp})_{\perp} \rangle \langle q, \omega \rangle + i \langle (S_{\perp} \times S_{\perp}) \cdot \hat{z} \rangle \langle q, \omega \rangle]$
(S₁)₁ is the component of the spin perpendicular to q and z.
NSF \rightarrow correlations of the S₁ component || to the initial dir. of polarization
SF \rightarrow correlations of the S₁ component \perp to the initial dir. of polarization
A(q) = $\frac{k_f}{k_i} \frac{(\gamma r_0)^2}{2 \pi \hbar} |F(q)|^2 \exp(-2 W(q))$ $\gamma r_0 = 0.54 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}$
Spin density spread out: scattering decreases at high q
F(q) = $\int s(\mathbf{r}) \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$

The scalar function s(r) is the density of unpaired e divided by their number





Ex. #4. Derive the above result.

$$S^{\alpha\beta}\left(\mathbf{q},\ \omega\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}'} e^{i\,\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{n}'}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{n}})} \int_{\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega \mathbf{t}'} \,d\!\!|\mathbf{t}' \sum_{\lambda} p\left(\mathsf{E}_{\lambda}\right) \langle \lambda \mid \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}{}_{\mathbf{n}}\left(\mathbf{0}\right) \mathbf{s}^{\beta}{}_{\mathbf{n}}\left(\mathbf{t}\right) \mid \lambda \rangle$$

or, in terms of matrix elements:

$$\mathsf{S}^{\alpha\beta}\left(\mathbf{q},\ \omega\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}'} e^{i\,\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{n}'}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{n}})} \sum_{\lambda\lambda'} p\left(\mathsf{E}_{\lambda}\right) \langle\lambda\mid \mathsf{s}^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{n}'}\mid\lambda'\rangle \langle\lambda'\mid \mathsf{s}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{n}}\mid\lambda\rangle \,\delta\left(\mathsf{E}_{\lambda'}-\mathsf{E}_{\lambda}-\hbar\omega\right)$$







Note

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.E

The scattering function $S^{\alpha\beta}(q, \omega)$ is related to the generalized susceptibility $\chi^{\alpha\beta}$ by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem:

$$S^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{N\hbar}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\hbar\omega}{\mathbf{k}_{B}T}\right)} \operatorname{Im}\chi^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$$

 $\chi^{\alpha\beta}$ determines the response of the system to the magnetic field established by the neutron:

$$\mathsf{M}^{\alpha}\left(\mathsf{q},\ \omega\right) = \chi^{\alpha\beta}\left(\mathsf{q},\ \omega\right)\mathsf{H}^{\beta}\left(\mathsf{q},\ \omega\right)$$

We convert inelastic scattering data to $\chi^{\alpha\beta}$ to

- Compare with bulk susceptibility data
- Analyze the temperature dependence of the response
- Compare with theories

that:
$$\chi(\mathbf{q}, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int d\omega \frac{\mathrm{Im}\chi^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\omega}$$



E

Single-ion Crystal Field Excitations

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

Weak coupling between magnetic ions: the excitation energies are independent from the scattering vector \mathbf{q} . We have to deal with a single-ion problem.

Local charge symmetry lifts partially or totally the (2J+1)-fold degeneracy of the ground state multiplet

Isolated magnetic ion: complete rotational symmetry. The total angular momentum J is a good quantum number



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

The surrounding ions produce an electric field (CF) to which the charges of the central ions adjusts.

CF weak (4f, 5f): smaller than the spin-orbit interaction. Each multiplet J can be considered as isolated. The eigenstates are linear combinations of the 2J+1 free-ion eigenstates $|LSJM_{J}\rangle$,

$$|\Gamma_{n} \rangle = \sum_{M=-J}^{J} a_{n} (M) + JM_{J} \rangle$$

The label Γ refers to the Bethe notation for the Irrep of the group of rotations.

CF intermediate (3d): if it is stronger than the spin-orbit interaction but weaker than the intra-atomic Coulomb electron-electron interaction. J is no more a good quantum number. The CF effects must be considered on the $|LSM_LM_S\rangle$ basis, then the SO corrections must be applied. L and S are good quantum numbers.

CF strong (4d, 5d): comparable to the intra-atomic Coulomb interaction. The CF modifies the state of each single electron. L is not a good quantum number.





Crystal Field Potential

Η^c

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

If J is a good quantum number

with k even and m equal to a multiple of the order of rotational symmetry around z

$$F = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n} B_{k}^{n} \hat{O}_{k}^{n}$$

$$J = 1, 3/2 \quad k = 0 \quad 2$$

$$J = 2, 5/2 \quad k = 0 \quad 2 \quad 4$$

$$J = 3, 7/2 \quad k = 0 \quad 2 \quad 4 \quad 6$$

 $min(2\ell,2j) = k$

Examples of Stevens Operator Equivalents

$$\begin{split} \hat{O}_2^0 &= 3 \; S_z^2 - S \; (S+1) \\ \hat{O}_2^1 &= \frac{1}{4} \; [\; S_z \; (S_+ + S_-) \; + \; (S_+ + S_-) \; S_z \;] \\ \hat{O}_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \; [\; S_+^2 + S_-^2 \;] \\ \hat{O}_4^0 &= 35 \; S_z^4 - \; [\; 30 \; S \; (S+1) \; - \; 25 \;] \; S_z^2 \; - \; 6 \; S \; (S+1) \; + \; 3 \; S^2 \; (S+1) \; ^2 \\ \hat{O}_4^1 &= \; \frac{1}{4} \; \{ \; [\; 7 \; S_z^2 \; - \; 3 \; S \; (S+1) \; - \; 1 \;] \; S_z \; (S_+ + S_-) \; + \; (S_+ + S_-) \; S_z \; [\; 7 \; S_z^2 \; - \; 3 \; S \; (S+1) \; - \; 1 \; \\ \hat{O}_4^2 &= \; \frac{1}{4} \; \{ \; [\; 7 \; S_z^2 \; - \; S \; (S+1) \; - \; 5 \;] \; (S_+^2 + S_-^2) \; + \; (S_+^2 + S_-^2) \; [\; 7 \; S_z^2 \; - \; 3 \; S \; (S+1) \; - \; 1 \; \\ \hat{O}_4^2 &= \; \frac{1}{4} \; \{ \; [\; 7 \; S_z^2 \; - \; S \; (S+1) \; - \; 5 \;] \; (S_+^2 + S_-^2) \; + \; (S_+^2 + S_-^2) \; [\; 7 \; S_z^2 \; - \; S \; (S+1) \; - \; 5 \;] \; \} \\ \hat{O}_4^3 &= \; \frac{1}{4} \; [\; S_z \; (S_+^3 \; + \; S_-^3) \; S_z \;] \\ \hat{O}_4^4 &= \; \frac{1}{2} \; (S_+^4 \; + \; S_-^4) \end{split}$$





X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

Cubic symmetry, quantization axis along the 4-fold axis

$$\mathsf{H_{C}^{CF}} = \mathsf{B_{4}}\left(\hat{O}_{4}^{0} + 5\,\hat{O}_{4}^{4}\right) + \,\mathsf{B_{6}}\left(\hat{O}_{6}^{0} - 21\,\hat{O}_{6}^{4}\right)$$

Tetragonal symmetry (D_{4h})

$$H_{t}^{CF} = B_{2}^{0} \hat{O}_{2}^{0} + B_{4}^{0} \hat{O}_{4}^{0} + B_{4}^{4} \hat{O}_{4}^{4} + B_{6}^{0} \hat{O}_{6}^{0} + B_{6}^{4} \hat{O}_{6}^{4}$$

Trigonal symmetry (D_{3d}) , up to fourth order

$$H_{tr}^{CF} = B_2^0 \,\hat{O}_2^0 + B_4^0 \,\hat{O}_4^0 - \frac{2}{3} \,B_4 \,\left(\hat{O}_4^0 + 20 \,\sqrt{2} \,\hat{O}_4^3\right)$$

$$S^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \mathsf{N} \sum_{\lambda\lambda'} \mathsf{p}(\mathsf{E}_{\lambda}) \langle \lambda | \mathsf{s}^{\alpha} | \lambda' \rangle \langle \lambda' | \mathsf{s}^{\beta} | \lambda \rangle \delta(\mathsf{E}_{\lambda'} - \mathsf{E}_{\lambda} - \hbar\omega)$$

Average in **q**-space for a polycrystalline sample:

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{4\pi} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}}{q^2} \right) S^{\alpha\beta} \left(\mathbf{q}, \ \omega \right) d\!l \,\Omega = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{q_{\alpha}^2}{q^2} \right) S^{\alpha\alpha} \sin\theta \, d\!l \,\theta \, d\!l \,\phi = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{\alpha} S^{\alpha\alpha}$$



So that

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d\Omega dE'} &= \frac{k_{f}}{k_{i}} \left(\gamma r_{0}\right)^{2} \cdot F\left(q\right) \cdot^{2} exp\left(-2 W\left(q\right)\right) \times \\ &\times \frac{2}{3} N \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \sum_{\alpha} p\left(E_{\lambda}\right) \left|\langle\lambda \mid s^{\alpha} \mid \lambda' \rangle\right|^{2} \delta\left(E_{\lambda'} - E_{\lambda} - \hbar\omega\right) \end{split}$$

with

$$\sum_{\alpha} |\langle \lambda | \mathbf{s}^{\alpha} | \lambda' \rangle|^{2} = |\langle \lambda | \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}} | \lambda' \rangle|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(|\langle \lambda | \mathbf{s}_{+} | \lambda' \rangle|^{2} + |\langle \lambda | \mathbf{s}_{-} | \lambda' \rangle|^{2} \right)$$

Ex. #5: prove the above relation



$$\frac{B_4^4}{2} \left(\sqrt{\prod_{i=0}^3 (S-m-i) \prod_{i=1}^4 (S+m+i)} \delta_{m+4,m} + \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^4 (S-m+i) \prod_{i=0}^3 (S+m-i)} \delta_{m-4,m} \right)$$



<M'|HCF|N

Matrix elements



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

	$\left(-\frac{93183}{250} \right)$	0	0	0	3.484	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	38067	0	0	0	3.851	0	0	0	0	0
> =	0	0	5683 250	0	0	0	4.080	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	74811	0	0	0	4.158	0	0	0
	3.484	0	0	0	28314 125	0	0	0	4.080	0	0
	o	3.851	0	0	0	<u>63067</u> 250	0	0	0	3.851	o
	0	0	4.080	0	0	0	28314 125	0	0	0	3.484
	0	0	0	4.158	0	0	0	74811 500	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	4.080	0	0	0	<u>5683</u> 250	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	3.851	0	0	0	<u>38067</u> 250	0
	O	0	0	0	0	0	3.484	0	0	0	$-\frac{93183}{250}$

Diagonalization of the matrix gives eigenvalues and eigenvectors



Eigenstates and transition probabilities



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

ENERGY (μeV)	Eigenfunctions
0	$ \lambda_{0-}\rangle = 1.0 5, -5\rangle$
0	$ \lambda_{0+}\rangle = 1.0 5, 5\rangle$
220.45	$ \lambda_1 \rangle = \sqrt{2} 5, 4\rangle + \sqrt{2} 5, -4\rangle$
220.46	$ \lambda_2 > = \sqrt{2} 5, 4 > -\sqrt{2} 5, -4 >$
395.45	$ \lambda_3 \rangle = -1.0 5, 3\rangle$
395.45	$ \lambda_4 \rangle = 1.0 5, -3\rangle$
522.0	$ \lambda_5 \rangle = -\sqrt{2} 5, -2 \rangle + \sqrt{2} 5, 2 \rangle$
522.63	$ \lambda_6> = -\sqrt{2} 5, -2> -\sqrt{2} 5, 2>$
500.22	$ \lambda_7 > = -1.0 5, -1 >$
500.22	$ \lambda_{8}\rangle = -1.0 5, 1\rangle$
624.98	$ \lambda_9 \rangle = -1.0 5, 0 \rangle$

Transition probability from the ground state doublet to the 1st excited level

 $\left|\langle\lambda_{1} \mid S_{\perp} \mid \lambda_{0+}\rangle\right|^{2} + \left|\langle\lambda_{1} \mid S_{\perp} \mid \lambda_{0-}\rangle\right|^{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\langle5, 4 \mid S_{-} \mid 5, 5\rangle\right|^{2} + \left|\langle5, -4 \mid S_{+} \mid 5, -5\rangle\right|^{2}\right) = 10$



 $UO_2 T > T_N$



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010





 $NpO_2 T > T_0$



 Γ_6

 Γ_8

F8

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010





X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

Experiment carried out at LANSCE using 75 grams of 242 PuO₂ Magnetic excitation observed at 123 meV

PuO₂





The dashed ellipse delimitate the region within which the true CF parameters are located with high degree of confidence



INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

PuO₂

NpO₂

Possible solutions of the disequations $50 \text{ meV} < \mathsf{E}_{\Gamma_8}^{(1)} - \mathsf{E}_{\Gamma_8}^{(2)} < 60 \text{ meV}$

118 meV < E_{Γ_4} - E_{Γ_1} < 128 meV in terms of the CF parameters A_4 and A_6 of PuO₂ and NpO₂



FO@EC.EUROPA.E

 NpO_2 : ∞ solutions divided into 2 branches PuO_2 : ∞ solutions covering a region of the A_4 - A_6 plane Dashed ellipse: zone of confidence for UO_2 parameters

•••

Common solutions for U. Np. Pu



UO₂ Ordered phase

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU



The cubic CF levels are split by the distortion.

 $H = H_{cub} + B_2^2 O_2^2 + B_4^2 O_4^2 + B_6^2 O_6^2 + B_6^6 O_6^6$





X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

We assume that a nf^m configuration is well separated in energy from other configurations: interactions within the *nf shell are dominant*. The appropriate base states are Slater determinants, with elements

In spherical symmetry these states are degenerate. The intra-atomic Coulomb repulsion remove the degeneracy.

As the Coulomb repulsion is diagonal in the basis $|\gamma SLM_LM_S>$, the

nf^m configuration splits into Russell-Saunders terms ²⁵⁺¹L, which are (25+1)(2L+1) times degenerate.

The energy of the terms is given by linear combinations of Slater integrals $F^{(k)}$ (k = 0, 2, 4, 6) $F^{(k)}$ 2 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ $\int_{-\infty}^{k}$ (contraction)²

$$\mathbf{F}^{(k)} = e^{2} \int_{0}^{0} dr_{i} \int_{0}^{0} dr_{j} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{\star}}{\mathbf{r}_{\star}^{k+1}} \left(\mathbf{R}_{nf}(r_{i}) \mathbf{R}_{nf}(r_{j}) \right)^{2}$$

EXAMPLE: $F_0 - 25F_2 - 51F_4 - 13F_6$ E(¹G) = $F_0 - 30F_2 + 97F_4 + 78F_6$

Spin-orbit interaction (diagonal in J, small compared to Coulomb)

 $H_{SO} = \sum \xi_i(\mathbf{r}) \ell_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \zeta \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$

where ζ is a radial integral of $\xi(r)$. The SO interaction lifts the degeneracy of the ²⁵⁺¹L terms, and leads to the formation of J multiplets



L and S are not good quantum numbers; if the SO is strong, levels with the same J but different L and S are mixed: **IC**





The CF removes the degeneracy of the J multiplets If the CF is strong, J-mixing occurs

The parameters determining the multiplets structure are known for free ions. Measurements of intermultiplet transitions by INS provide a tool for their determination in

- o Metal systems with unstable magnetic moments
- o Mixed valence systems
- o Heavy Fermion systems with strong f-conduction band hybridization
- o Sytems with f-electrons on the verge of delocalization

Coulomb transitions: $\Delta L \neq 0$ give information on Coulomb intra-atomic repulsion, Are influenced by the environment and probe intra-atomic correlations.

Spin-orbit transitions: $\Delta L = 0$, $\Delta S = 0$, $\Delta J = \pm 1$, ± 2 ...

probe the spin-orbit coupling

EXAMPLE 1 Commission Intermultiplet transitions in f-electron systems EXAMPLE 1 CONTROL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010 INS cross section for spin-orbit transitions separated by an energy gap Δ $\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega dE_{f}} = \frac{k_{f}}{k_{i}} r_{0}^{2} G(Q, J, J') d(\hbar\omega - \Delta)$ $G(Q, J, J') = \sum_{k'} \frac{3}{k'+1} \left[A(k'-1,k') + B(k'-1,k') \right]^{2} + \Delta$

 $\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{3}{2k+1} [B(k,k)]^2 \qquad (k = 2, 4, 6 \quad k' = 1, 3, 5, 7)$

The quantities A(k,k') and B(k,k') are associated to radial integrals

$$\langle j_{k}(Q) \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} j_{k}(QR) r^{2} R_{f}^{2}(r)$$



 F_2 is reduced to 90% of the free-ion value, due to screening effects in the metal



Collective excitations



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU





Ferromagnetic: *J_{ij}>0*

Antiferromagnetic: *Jij<0*

- In most magnetic systems, there is a coupling between neighboring spins
 - e,g, Heisenberg exchange

$$H_{ex} = -\sum_{i=i} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i . \vec{S}_j$$

When one spin changes direction, it induces a wave-like disturbance of all the neighboring spins.





Collective magnetic excitations : Spin waves (magnons)



Consider the magnetic moment at site i. Be z the direction of the static moment in an ordered structure. A spin wave corresponds to a precession of the spins about the z axis, with a spatial phase difference determined by a quasi-momentum vector $q = 2\pi/\lambda$:

- S_i^z and $[(S_i^x)^2 + (S_i^y)^2]$ eigenvalues are time independent
- $[(S_i^x)^2 + (S_i^y)^2]$ expectation values are site independent
- S_i^{x} and S_i^{y} expectation values are zero



$$\sum_{\tau} \sum_{\vec{q}} \left\{ \delta(\vec{Q} - \vec{q} - \vec{\tau}) \delta(\hbar\omega_q - \hbar\omega) < n_q + 1 > + \delta(\vec{Q} + \vec{q} - \vec{\tau}) \delta(\hbar\omega_q + \hbar\omega) < n_q > \right\}$$
The provide the provided for the provided form of the provided for the provided for the provid

$$< n >= rac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega/K_{B}T} - 1} \qquad < n + 1 >= rac{e^{\hbar\omega/K_{B}T}}{e^{\hbar\omega/K_{B}T} - 1}$$

The scattering correspond to the creation or to the annihilation of one magnon. Scattering only occurs if

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_n}(K_i^2 - K_f^2) = \pm \hbar \omega_q \qquad \vec{\mathbf{K}}_i - \vec{\mathbf{K}}_f = \vec{\tau} \pm \vec{\mathbf{q}}$$



Collective excitations

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010









Comparison of magnon and phonon scattering intensities:

 ${\rm O}$ the intensity for magnon scattering decreases with the square of the form factor

- O Phonon scattering intensity increases as Q²
- O SW excitations only in the magnetic ordered phase

O an external magnetic field, affect the intensity, provided it is strong enough to produce a domain reorientation:

$$\downarrow + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{\eta}^2}{\mathbf{Q}^2} = \{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & ; \mathbf{B} \perp \mathbf{Q} \\ \\ \mathbf{Q}^2 & 2 & ; \mathbf{B} \parallel \mathbf{Q} \end{array} \right.$$

For B = 0, the directional average of $(1+Q_{\eta}^2/Q^2)$ depends on the symmetry. In a cubic crystal, the average is 4/3.



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

Thermal neutrons have velocity of the order of km/s

Their energy can be determined by measuring time-offlight over a distance of a few metres.

Direct Geometry: the incident energy is defined by a crystal or a chopper and the final energy is scanned by time-of-flight

Inverted Geometry: the final energy is defined by a crystal or a filter and the incident energy is scanned by time-of-flight





MAPS@ISIS



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010





Broad simultaneous coverage of (Q,ω) space
Coupled measurement trajectories



IN5 at the Institut Laue Langevin



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010





Kinematical triangle

X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010

ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU



From the scattering triangle we can see that an array of detectors will trace out a sector in reciprocal space







ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

The detector array produces a surface in ($Q_{||}, Q_{\perp}, \omega$) space







Ferromagnetic spin wave in a 3 dimensional magnetic system. The spin wave will emerge like a 'cone' from a reciprocal lattice point.

Where that 'cone' intersects with the surface in $(Q_{||}, Q_{\perp}, \omega)$ space, scattering will be observed.

'Cuts' can the be performed in software during data analysis.









IRIS, RAL











Energy calibration



X INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF NEUTRON SCATTERING F. P. RICCI, ROME, OCTOBER 2010



$$L_{CD} = \frac{T_e - T_C}{t_2 - t_1} L_{12}$$

Wavevector and energy of neutrons detected at time t_i:

$$K_{j} = 1.588 \, \frac{L_{\text{CD}} \, (\text{mm})}{\left(\text{t}_{j} - \text{t}_{\text{C}}\right) \left(\mu\text{s}\right)} \, \text{\AA}^{-1} \quad \text{E}_{j} = 5.2131 \, \frac{L_{\text{CD}}^{2} \, (\text{mm}^{2})}{\left(\text{t}_{j} - \text{t}_{\text{C}}\right)^{2} \, \left(\mu\text{s}\right)^{2}} \, \text{meV}$$





Intensity histogram recorded with constant δt . Time-to-energy conversion:

$$\delta E_{j} = - \frac{m_{n} L_{CD}^{2}}{(t_{j} - t_{C})^{3}} \delta t$$

the cross-section is distorted

Counting strategy

 C_j = counts in the j-th channel for sample +holder H_j = counts in the j-th channel for holder V_j = counts in the j-th channel for V standard B_j = counts in the j-th channel for background n_X = monitor counts (X = C, H, V, B) $\eta_j(E)$ = detector efficiency $\eta_M(E)$ = monitor efficiency









$$\frac{V_{j}}{n_{V}} - \frac{B_{j}}{n_{B}} = N_{V} \left(\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega dE_{j}}\right)_{V} \Delta\Omega \ \Delta E_{j} \frac{\eta_{j}(E_{j})}{\eta_{M}(E_{0})}$$

$$\sum_{i} \left(\frac{V_{j}}{n_{V}} - \frac{B_{j}}{n_{B}} \right) = N_{V} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{V} \Delta \Omega \quad \frac{\eta_{j} (E_{0})}{\eta_{M} (E_{0})}$$

nsuraniur ments 53





ROBERTO.CACIUFFO@EC.EUROPA.EU

INS accounts for the detailed atomic motions and magnetic excitations - individual or collective - within a many-body system.

Microscopic motions or excitations may occur in vastly different time and length scales, typically ps to ms and sub-nm to μ m: INS necessitates a wide coverage in the energy (*E*) and wavevector (*Q*) space with good resolutions.

Interpretation of INS data can be a challenge facing experimentalists. Researchers nowadays have to apply methods of theoretical modeling and simulations that require high degree of sophistication and substantial amount of computing resources.